

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/748,529	12/22/2000	M. Stephen Galland	2039.006200/RFE 4199	
23720 7590 04/20/2004 WILLIAMS, MORGAN & AMERSON, P.C.			EXAMINER	
			ANTHONY, JOSEPH DAVID	
10333 RICHMOND, SUITE 1100 HOUSTON, TX 77042			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
ŕ			1714	

DATE MAILED: 04/20/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

•	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Advisory Action	09/748,529	GALLAND ET AL.			
riariosi y riodon	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Joseph D. Anthony	1714 (V)			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address					
THE REPLY FILED 06 April 2004 FAILS TO PLACE THI Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to av final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	oid abandonment of this applica a timely filed amendment which (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely	ation. A proper reply to a			
	PLY [check either a) or b)]				
a) The period for reply expires 5 months from the mailing date b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire la ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period o fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of t (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 C	dvisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THOUSE OF THE CONTRACT OF THE CONTR	g date of the final rejection. IE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP R 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension on the fee. The appropriate extension originally set in the final Office action; or			
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFF	R 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of				
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered be					
(a) ⊠ they raise new issues that would require furthe	•	see NOTE below);			
(b) ☐ they raise the issue of new matter (see Note b	•				
(c) they are not deemed to place the application in issues for appeal; and/or	better form for appeal by mater	rially reducing or simplifying the			
(d) they present additional claims without canceling	ng a corresponding number of fi	nally rejected claims.			
NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> .					
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejecti					
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	be allowable if submitted in a se	parate, timely filed amendment			
5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request for application in condition for allowance because: See	reconsideration has been consideration Sheet.	dered but does NOT place the			
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered becaraised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	use it is not directed SOLELY to	o issues which were newly			
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(explanation of how the new or amended claims wo					
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:					
Claim(s) allowed: NONE.					
Claim(s) objected to: NONE.					
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-10 and 12-35</u> .					
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:					
8. The drawing correction filed on is a) appro	oved or b) disapproved by the	e Examiner.			
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statemen	t(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s)				
10. Other:					
	(j)	sen O. Autrem			
		Joseph D. Anthony Primary Examiner Art Unit: 1714			

U.S. Palent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 11-03) Continuation of 2. NOTE: Applicant's proposed amendment inserting into independent claim 1 the limitation of "wherein said method
reduces the induction period for oxygen scavenging" rasises new issues that would require further consideration and possibly a new
search.

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: it clearly does not overcome the applied Jerdee e al reference. Furthermore the declaration is dated 06/02/04 (i.e. June 02, 2004) which is incorrect since the date of the present adsvisory action is 04/16/04 (i.e. April 16, 2004). The said declaration if resumitted with a correct date of signiture would overcome only the applied prior-art rejections made over Rodgers et al. and Cai et al.